Session 5 – Part 2

Valuation with Corporate Taxes (Tc) Financial Markets and Management

MiM

ISEG Lisbon School of Economics & Management

Clara Raposo

Valuation with Corporate Taxes: WACC method APV method FTE method

Financial Markets and Management

- We consider the following assumptions to start with, and introduce the three methods:
 - The firm's D/E ratio is constant (*I* E and *I* wacc will be constant).
 - The project has average risk (same risk as the firm);
 - Corporate Taxes are the only imperfection;
 - WACC: Weighted Average Cost of Capital;
 - APV: Adjusted Present Value;
 - FTE: Flow to Equity.
- We then look at Project-Based Capital Structures.
- We finally consider alternative Leverage Policies, for which the APV method is more convenient to use, such as Predetermined Debt Levels.



1. Constant D/E ratio: WACC Method

Remember what the weighted average cost of capital is:

$$r_{wacc} = \frac{E}{E+D}r_E + \frac{D}{E+D}r_D(1-\tau_c)$$

An investment's initial levered value is given by the present value of the FCFs discounted at the rate rwacc:

$$V_0^L = \frac{FCF_1}{1 + r_{wacc}} + \frac{FCF_2}{(1 + r_{wacc})^2} + \frac{FCF_3}{(1 + r_{wacc})^3} + \cdots$$

Financial Markets and Management

Constant D/E ratio: WACC Method

• Example: Avco, Inc. is a manufacturer of custom packaging products, and is considering introducing a new line of packaging (the RFX series). The spreadsheet forecasts the project's expected FCFs:

		Year	0	1	2	3	4
Inci	emental Earnings Forecast (\$ million	n)					
1	Sales		_	60.00	60.00	60.00	60.00
2	Cost of Goods Sold			(25.00)	(25.00)	(25.00)	(25.00)
3	Gross Profit		_	35.00	35.00	35.00	35.00
4	Operating Expenses		(6.67)	(9.00)	(9.00)	(9.00)	(9.00)
5	Depreciation		—	(6.00)	(6.00)	(6.00)	(6.00)
6	EBIT		(6.67)	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00
7	Income Tax at 40%		2.67	(8.00)	(8.00)	(8.00)	(8.00)
8	Unlevered Net Income		(4.00)	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
Free	e Cash Flow						
9	Plus: Depreciation		—	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
10	Less: Capital Expenditures		(24.00)	—	—	_	—
11	Less: Increases in NWC			_	_	_	_
12	Free Cash Flow		(28.00)	18.00	18.00	18.00	18.00

Financial Markets and Management

Constant D/E ratio: WACC Method

To determine the firm's rwacc we need the market values (when possible) of Equity and of net Debt, as well as the cost of equity (r_E), the cost of debt (r_D) and the corporate tax rate (Tc):

	Assets		Liabili	ties	Cost	of Capital
	Cash	20	Debt	320	Debt	6%
	Existing Assets	600	Equity	300	Equity	10%
	Total Assets	620	Total Liabilitie and Equity	s 620		
ľ _{wac}	$_{c} = \frac{E}{E + D}r_{E}$	$E + \frac{1}{E}$	$\frac{D}{+D}r_D(1 -$	$\tau_c) = \frac{30}{60}$	$\frac{10}{10}(10\%) + \frac{300}{600}(6)$	%)(1 – 0.40
	= 6.8%					

Note that net debt D=320-20; and that D/(D+E)=0.5, or D/E=1.

Financial Markets and Management

Mim – Iseg Lisbon

Constant D/E ratio: WACC Method

The value of the project, including the tax shield from debt, is calculated as the present value of its future free cash flows. We are assuming that the project uses the same capital structure, target D/E=1.



Financial Markets and Management

Mim – Iseg Lisbon

Constant D/E ratio: WACC Method SUMMARY

- 1. Determine the free cash flow of the investment.
- 2. Compute the weighted average cost of capital.
- 3. Compute the value of the investment, including the tax benefit of leverage, by discounting the free cash flow of the investment using the WACC.

Financial Markets and Management

Implementing the constant D/E ratio

Financial Markets and Management

- In the example just seen we considered a constant ratio D/E=1 or D/(D+E)=0.5.
- By this we mean that every year the value of Debt is 50% of the (present) value of the project. Debt Capacity is a fixed proportion of VL.
- So we know that in the beginning (time 0) the new debt that the firm must raise for the RFX project is: $D_0 = 0.5 \times \$61.25 = 30.62$

Implementing the constant D/E ratio

• For each year, as time passes, we can recompute

		Year	0	1	2	3	4
Proj	ect Debt Capacity (\$ million)						
1	Free Cash Flow		(28.00)	18.00	18.00	18.00	18.00
2	Levered Value, V^L (at $r_{wacc} = 6.8\%$)		61.25	47.41	32.63	16.85	—
3	Debt Capacity (at $d = 50\%$)		30.62	23.71	16.32	8.43	-

For example: after the first year passes,

$$V_1^L = \frac{18}{(1+0.068)} + \frac{18}{(1+0.068)^2} + \frac{18}{(1+0.068)^3} = 47.41$$
$$D_1 = 0.5 \times 47.41 = 23.71$$

Financial Markets and Management

2. Constant D/E ratio: APV Method

- The Adjusted Present Value (APV) method is an alternative to the WACC method.
- If first values the project as if it were unlevered: Vu
- And separately adds the present value of the interest tax shield.

$$V^{L} = V^{U} + PV$$
(Interest Tax Shield)

Constant D/E ratio APV Method

In the first step, the APV method determines the unlevered value of the firm, by discounting the FCFs at the unlevered cost of capital ru or Pre-Tax WACC.

$$r_U = \frac{E}{E+D}r_E + \frac{D}{E+D}r_D = \text{Pretax WACC}$$

In Avco's RFX project Example:

$$r_U = 0.50 \times 10.0\% + 0.50 \times 6.0\% = 8.0\%$$

$$V^U = \frac{18}{1.08} + \frac{18}{1.08^2} + \frac{18}{1.08^3} + \frac{18}{1.08^4} = \$59.62 \text{ million}$$

Financial Markets and Management



Constant D/E ratio APV Method

- In the second step of the APV method we compute the present value of the interest tax shield.
- Note this is easy (possible!) after knowing the Debt Capacity in each year...
- From the Debt Capacity we estimate the annual interest payments:

Interest paid in year
$$t = r_D \times D_{t-1}$$

- The interest tax shield is equal to the interest paid multiplied by the corporate tax rate.
- Note: With a target D/E ratio the WACC method is more convenient.

Constant D/E ratio APV Method

• We compute the Annual Interest Tax Shields:

		Year	0	1	2	3	4
Inte	rest Tax Shield (\$ million)						
1	Debt Capacity, <i>D_t</i>		30.62	23.71	16.32	8.43	-
2	Interest Paid (at $r_D = 6\%$)			1.84	1.42	0.98	0.51
3	Interest Tax Shield (at $\tau_c = 40\%$)			0.73	0.57	0.39	0.20

 And the present value of the interest tax shields, by discounting them at the unlevered cost of capital rU (or Pre-Tax WACC).

PV(interest tax shield) = $\frac{0.73}{1.08} + \frac{0.57}{1.08^2} + \frac{0.39}{1.08^3} + \frac{0.20}{1.08^4} = 1.63 million

Note: When the firm maintains a **target leverage ratio**, its future **interest tax shields** have similar risk to the project's cash flows, so they should be **discounted at the project's unlevered cost of capital**.

Financial Markets and Management



Constant D/E ratio APV Method

Finally we get the same Levered Value for the project, as with the WACCC method:

 $V^{L} = V^{U} + PV$ (interest tax shield) = 59.62 + 1.63 = \$61.25 million

• The difficulty with applying the APV method is when the debt capacity is not known, but just the target D/E ratio. In that case you would need to determine simultaneously V_t^L and D_t .



Constant D/E ratio: APV Method SUMMARY

1. Determine the investment's value without leverage.

- 2. Determine the present value of the interest tax shield.
 - a. Determine the expected interest tax shield.
 - b. Discount the interest tax shield.
- **3**. Add the unlevered value to the present value of the interest tax shield to determine the value of the investment with leverage.

Financial Markets and Management



3. Constant D/E ratio: FTE Method

- The Flow-to-Equity method is:
 - A valuation method that calculates the free cash flow available to equity holders, FCFE, taking into account all payments to and from debt holders
 - The cash flows to equity holders are then discounted using the equity cost of capital r.
 - Gives you the value of Equity same as V_L-D.

Financial Markets and Management

Constant D/E ratio FTE Method

The method starts by calculating the FCFE, which can be obtained by adjusting the FCF:

$$FCFE_{t} = FCF_{t} - (1 - \tau_{C}) \times \text{InterestPayments}_{t} + \text{Net Borrowing}_{t}$$
or
$$FCFE_{t} = \text{Net Income}_{t} + \text{Depreciation}_{t} - \text{Capital Expenditures}_{t} - \text{Increases in NWC}$$

$$+ \text{Net Borrowing}_{t}$$

The Net Borrowing of a certain year is the change in the level of debt from the previous year: Net Borrowing at Date $t = D_t - D_{t-1}$

Financial Markets and Management

In the Avco Example, compute the FCFE:

Computing	Computing FCFE from FCF for Avco's RFX Project								
	Year	0	1	2	3	4			
Free Cash Flow to Equity (\$ million)									
1 Free Cash Flow		(28.00)	18.00	18.00	18.00	18.00			
2 After-tax Interest Expense		_	(1.10)	(0.85)	(0.59)	(0.30)			
3 Net Borrowing		30.62	(6.92)	(7.39)	(7.89)	(8.43)			
4 Free Cash Flow to Equity		2.62	9.98	9.76	9.52	9.27			

Year	0	1	2	3	4
EBIT	-6,67	20,00	20,00	20,00	20,00
Interest Expenses	0	1,84	1,42	0,98	0,51
PreTax Income	-6,67	18,16	18,58	19,02	19,49
Income Tax (40%)	-2,67	7,26	7,43	7,61	7,80
Net Income	-4,00	10,90	11,15	11,41	11,70
+ Depreciation	0,00	6,00	6,00	6,00	6,00
- Capital Expenditures	-24,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
- Increases in NWC	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00	0,00
+ Net Borrowing	30,62	-6,92	-7,39	-7,89	-8,43
Free Cash Flow to Equity	2,62	9,98	9,76	9,52	9,27

Constant D/E ratio FTE Method

Financial Markets and Management

Constant D/E ratio FTE Method

Because the FCFE is for equity-holders only, we compute the net present value of the Equity invested in the project as the present value of the FCFEs, discounted at the equity cost of capital, rE.

$$NPV(FCFE) = 2.62 + \frac{9.98}{1.10} + \frac{9.76}{1.10^2} + \frac{9.52}{1.10^3} + \frac{9.27}{1.10^4} = \$33.25 \text{ million}$$

• This is the same NPV we saw with the WACC method and with the APV method.

Note: The FTE method is only easy to apply when we know the interest payments each year (and are able to compute the annual FCFE "quickly").



Constant D/E ratio: FTE Method SUMMARY

- 1. Determine the free cash flow to equity of the investment.
- 2. Determine the equity cost of capital.
- 3. Compute the equity value by discounting the free cash flow to equity using the equity cost of capital.

Financial Markets and Management

Project - Based Cost of Capital

- It is quite possible that firms use a different capital structure when financing a new project, or that a new project is not in the same line of business.
- In this case the "old" discount rates of the firm should not be used for the project.
- How to calculate the cost of capital for the project's cash flows when a project's risk and leverage differ from the firm's?

Mim – ISEG LISBON

/21/

Project-Based Cost of Capital: (i) Estimating the Unlevered Cost of Capital

- Example: Suppose Avco launches a new plastics manufacturing division.
- We can estimate ru for the plastics division by looking at other single-division plastics firms that have similar business risks (Comparables).

Firm	Equity Cost of Capital	Debt Cost of Capital	Debt-to-Value Ratio, D/(E + D)
Comparable #1	12.0%	6.0%	40%
Comparable #2	10.7%	5.5%	25%

For each competitor we get:

Competitor 1: $r_U = 0.60 \times 12.0\% + 0.40 \times 6.0\% = 9.6\%$ Competitor 2: $r_U = 0.75 \times 10.7\% + 0.25 \times 5.5\% = 9.4\%$ For the average project in the plastics industry: $r_U = 9.5\%$

Financial Markets and Management

Project-Based Cost of Capital: (ii) Project Leverage and the Equity Cost of Capital

- Knowing ru allows us to use the APV method.
- To use the WACC or the FTE methods, we need to assess the cost of equity re for the project.

With a target D/E ratio we use MMII:

$$r_E = r_U + \frac{D}{E}(r_U - r_D)$$

In the Avco plastics division Example (D/E=1, ru=9.5%, and rD=6% for Avco):
 0.50

$$r_E = 9.5\% + \frac{0.50}{0.50}(9.5\% - 6\%) = 13.0\%$$

Financial Markets and Management

Project-Based Cost of Capital: (iii) Project Leverage and the WACC

The weighted average cost of capital for the project in the plastics division would be

 $r_{WACC} = 0.50 \times 13.0\% + 0.50 \times 6.0\% \times (1 - 0.40) = 8.3\%$

• If the target D/E ratio were different for this project, we would use the unlevered rate $\Gamma U=9.5\%$ of the project's industry, and compute ΓE and Γ_{Wacc} based on the new D/E ratio. Suppose $\frac{D}{E} = 0.75$ $r_E = 9.5\% + 0.75(9.5\% - 6\%) = 12.125\%$ $r_{wacc} = \frac{1}{1+0.75}12.125\% + \frac{0.75}{1+0.75}6\%(1-40\%) = 8.47\%$

Financial Markets and Management



Besides the policy of keeping a target ratio D/E, there are other common leverage policies.

- We will have a look at a case which is well captured by the APV method:
 - Predetermined Debt Levels.

APV Method: Predetermined Debt Levels

- A firm may adjust its debt according to a fixed schedule that is known in advance.
- Example: For the RFX project, assume now that Avco plans to borrow \$30.62 million and then will reduce the debt on a fixed schedule:
 - to \$20 million after one year, to \$10 million after two years, and to zero after three years.

Financial Markets and Management

APV Method: Predetermined Debt Levels

		Year	0	1	2	3	4
Inte	rest Tax Shield (\$ million)						
1	Debt Capacity, <i>D</i> _t		30.62	20.00	10.00	_	_
2	Interest Paid (at $r_D = 6\%$)			1.84	1.20	0.60	_
3	Interest Tax Shield (at $\tau_c = 40\%$)			0.73	0.48	0.24	—

When debt levels are set according to a fixed schedule, we can discount the predetermined interest tax shields using the debt cost of capital.

$$PV$$
(interest tax shield) = $\frac{0.73}{1.06} + \frac{0.48}{1.06^2} + \frac{0.24}{1.06^3} = 1.32 million

Financial Markets and Management

APV Method: Predetermined Debt Levels

If debt had a constant predetermined level D forever, we would actually get:

$$V^L = V^U + \tau_C D$$

Financial Markets and Management